Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

The binary adduct of 3,6,9,16,19,22hexaazatricyclo[22.2.2.2^{11,14}]triaconta-11,13,24,26(1),27,29-hexaene and benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylic acid

Long-Gen Zhu, Arkady M. Ellern* and Nenad M. Kostić

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA Correspondence e-mail: ellern@iastate.edu

Received 17 September 2001 Accepted 3 December 2001 Online 13 February 2002

The crystals of the title salt, 6,21-diaza-3,9,18,24tetraazoniatricyclo[22.2.2.2^{11,14}]triaconta-11,13,24,26(1),27,29hexaene benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylate(4–) hexahydrate, $C_{24}H_{42}N_6^{4+}\cdot C_{10}H_2O_8^{4-}\cdot 6H_2O$, are formed by the intermolecular interaction of a macrocyclic hexamine with a molecule of $C_6H_2(COOH)_4$ in aqueous solution. Both the cation and the anion are on inversion centres. Hydrogen bonds are formed between the four ammonium cations in the hexamine and the four carboxylate anions in the aromatic acid. Stacks exist along the crystallographic *a* axis in the solid state. The water molecules also take part in a hydrogen-bonding network which joins these stacks together.

Comment

Macrocyclic compounds may form supramolecules if the stereochemistry of the donor atoms and the size and shape of the central cavity are suitable (Lehn, 1985, 1988). We are interested in the interplay of the covalent, ionic and hydrogenbonding interactions that hold these supramolecules together, and in the dependence of these interactions on the conformation.



The inclusion properties of macrocyclic compounds can be adjusted by controlling the ring size. In the macrocyclic hexamine compound 3,6,9,16,19,22-hexaazatricyclo[22.2.2.2^{11,14}]-triaconta-11,13,24,26(1),27,29-hexaene, designated *L*, the two identical diethylenetriamine moieties can be considered as

two arms of the molecule, which can coordinate to transition metal ions to form binuclear (Zhu *et al.*, 1998; Jurek & Martell, 1999) and tetranuclear (He *et al.*, 2001) metal complexes. This macrocyclic hexamine also creates a supramolecule, through intermolecular hydrogen bonding between water molecules and both the hexamine and other water molecules (He *et al.*, 2000). However, when metal ions are absent from the central cavity, the amino groups (as ammonium cations) become available for electrostatic interactions with carboxylic acid





A view of the crystal structure of (I) showing the intermolecular interactions within a stack. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. One of the water molecules, and the H atoms not participating in these interactions, have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2

The packing diagram for (I) viewed along the *a* axis. Only hydrogen bonds with $H \cdots A$ distances shorter than 2.40 Å are shown.

groups (as carboxylate anions). Here, we show that the centrosymmetric hexamine L and $C_6H_2(COOH)_4$ form a salt, (I).

The asymmetric unit of the triclinic cell of (I) contains one half of the tetraprotonated LH_4^{4+} cation, one half of the $C_6H_2(COO)_4^{4-}$ anion and three water molecules. The cations adopt a chair-like conformation, with one diethylenetriamine moiety flipped down and the other flipped up. The hydrogen bonding, involving the H atoms at N1 and N3 in LH_4^{4+} , and the O atoms in $C_6H_2(COO)^{4-}$, gives rise to aggregated stacks along the a axis (Fig. 1). The water molecules form hydrogen bonds that join the $C_6H_2(COO)_4^{4-}$ ions of different stacks, vielding a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2). All bond lengths and angles in (I) agree well with the corresponding dimensions of the isolated macrocycle (He et al., 2000). The observed differences from the standard values can be attributed to the participation of some atoms in hydrogen bonding.

The structure of (I) shows that the LH_4^{4+} macrocycle adjusts its conformation in order to optimize ionic interactions with $C_6H_2(COO)_4^{4-}$.

Experimental

The macrocyclic hexamine L was synthesized according to the procedure of Chen & Martell (1991). Needle-shaped single crystals of the title salt were obtained by mixing a 10 mM aqueous solution of L (1.44 ml) with a 20 mM aqueous solution of $C_6H_2(COOH)_4$ (0.36 ml) (molar ratio 2:1), 2.00 M NaOH (3.6 μ l) and 5.6 ml H₂O. The total volume was 7.40 ml. Similar crystals were also obtained using the binuclear Mg^{II} complex $[LMg_2(OH)_2](ClO_4)_2$ instead of L, and mixing a 20 mM aqueous solution of $[LMg_2(OH)_2](ClO_4)_2$ (300 µl) and a 20 mM aqueous solution of $C_6H_2(COOH)_4$ (150 µl) and water (250 µl). Spectroscopic analysis for (I): ¹H NMR ($D_2O, \delta, p.p.m.$): 7.28 (s, 8H, ArH in LH₄⁴⁺), 4.11 (s, 8H, ArCH₂ in LH₄⁴⁺), 3.25 (t, 8H, NHCH₂ in *L*H₄⁴⁺), 3.03 (*t*, 8H, NHCH₂ in *L*H₄⁴⁺), 7.16 [*s*, 2H, ArCH in $C_6H_2(COO)_4^{4-}$].

Z = 1

Crystal data $C_{24}H_{42}N_6^{4+} \cdot C_{10}H_2O_8^{4-} \cdot 6H_2O_8^{-}$ $M_r = 772.85$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 7.0983 (11) Åb = 9.3947 (15) Å c = 13.880(2) Å $\alpha = 87.686 (3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 79.635 \ (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 79.498 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 895.2 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ Data collection Bruker SMART 1000 diffractometer

 φ and ω scans 4531 measured reflections 3011 independent reflections 1669 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.034$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 3621 reflections $\theta = 7-21^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) KPrism, black $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \ \mathrm{mm}$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.7^{\circ}$ $h=-8\rightarrow 8$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.434 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$

50 standard reflections every 1085 reflections

intensity decay: none

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H11N···O2	0.96 (3)	1.85 (3)	2.759 (4)	157 (2)
$N1 - H12N \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{iii}$	1.03 (3)	1.95 (3)	2.891 (3)	151 (3)
$N3-H31N\cdots O3^{i}$	0.88 (3)	2.17 (4)	2.837 (4)	132 (3)
$N3-H31N\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.88 (3)	2.23 (3)	2.942 (3)	138 (3)
N3-H32N···O4 ⁱⁱ	1.16 (5)	1.69 (5)	2.726 (3)	146 (4)
$O5-H11W \cdots O2$	1.08 (5)	1.66 (5)	2.727 (3)	170 (5)
$O5-H12W \cdots O6^{iv}$	0.73 (3)	2.12 (3)	2.835 (4)	163 (3)
$O6-H21W \cdots O5^{v}$	0.85 (3)	1.91 (4)	2.753 (3)	169 (3)
O6−H22W···O7	0.92 (4)	1.80 (4)	2.722 (4)	174 (3)
O7−H31W···O3	0.91 (4)	1.83 (4)	2.730 (3)	166 (4)
$O7-H31W \cdots O4$	0.91 (4)	2.64 (4)	3.337 (3)	134 (3)
$O7-H32W\cdots O6^{vi}$	0.84 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.801 (4)	151 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z; (ii) 2 - x, 1 - y, -z; (iii) 1 + x, y, z; (iv) x, 1 + y, z; (v) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (vi) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.039P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.104$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 0.90	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
3011 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
293 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H atoms: see below	Extinction coefficient: 0.010 (2)

H atoms involved in hydrogen bonding were located from a difference Fourier map, and their positions and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. All other H atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined riding on their carrier atoms (C-H =0.93 and 0.97 Å).

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1508). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). SMART. Version 5.054. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, D. & Martell, A. E. (1991). Tetrahedron, 47, 6895-6902.
- He, W., Liu, F., Duan, C., Guo, Z., Zhou, S., Liu, Y. & Zhu, L. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 7065-7071.
- He, W.-J., Ye, Z.-F., Xu, Y., Guo, Z.-J. & Zhu, L.-G. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 1019-1020.
- Jurek, P. & Martell, A. E. (1999). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 287, 47-51.
- Lehn, J.-M. (1985). Science, 227, 849-856.
- Lehn, J.-M. (1988). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27, 89-112.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zhu, H., Zheng, L., Duan, C., Huang, X., Bu, W., Wu, M. & Tang, W. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 3909–3917.